SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, DECEMBER 29, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S156555 B195121/B195370 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 HARRIS (FRANCES) v. S.C. (LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY)

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

We reverse the judgment of the Court of Appeal and remand with directions that it review the trial court's denial of the summary adjudication motion, applying the appropriate legal standard set out herein.

Majority Opinion by Corrigan, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Werdegar, Chin, and Liu, JJ.

S188655 D056825 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 SHAPUTIS (RICHARD) ON H.C.

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

We reverse the judgment of the Court of Appeal.

Majority Opinion by Corrigan, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, and Chin, JJ.

Concurring Opinion by Werdegar, J.

Concurring Opinion by Chin, J.

Concurring Opinion by Liu, J.

S194861

CALIFORNIA
REDEVELOPMENT
ASSOCIATION v.
MATOSANTOS
(ANA)/(COUNTY OF SANTA
CLARA)

Opinion filed: Order to show cause discharged

For the foregoing reasons, we discharge the order to show cause, deny the Association's petition for a peremptory writ of mandate with respect to Assembly Bill 1X 26, except for Health and Safety Code section 34172, subdivision (a)(2), and grant its petition with respect to Assembly Bill 1X 27. We direct issuance of a peremptory writ compelling the state Director of Finance and state Controller not to implement Health and Safety Code sections 34172, subdivision (a)(2) and 34192-34196. We extend all statutory deadlines contained in Health and Safety Code, division 24, part 1.85 (§§ 34170-34191) and arising before May 1, 2012, by four months. Given the

urgency of the matters addressed by the Association's petition, our judgment is final forthwith. (See, e.g., *Senate of the State of Cal. v. Jones* (1999) 21 Cal.4th 1142, 1169.) Majority Opinion by Werdegar, J.

-- joined by Kennard, Baxter, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ. Concurring and Dissenting Opinion by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J.

S198387

VANDERMOST (JULIE) v. BOWEN (DEBRA)/(CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION)

Supplemental briefing ordered

The parties are requested to file supplemental letter briefs addressing the following question: What significance does the signature validity rate from the completed random sampling process have for the issue of whether a referendum is "likely to qualify" under article XXI, section 3, subdivision (b)(2) of the California Constitution?

Simultaneous supplemental letter briefs addressing this question must be served and filed by Wednesday, January 4, 2012.

Simultaneous supplemental letter reply briefs may be served and filed by Friday, January 6, 2012. All service and filings may be made by facsimile with the original and hard copies to follow by mail.

The court's fax number is (415) 865-7183.

No extension of time will be granted.

S195600 B222615 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. CARBAJAL (VALENTIN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to January 17, 2012.

S196711 B227137 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. GARCIA (ROBERTO)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Thomas Owen is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S198329 MONTOYA (ENRIQUE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S198629 JONES (JASON) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District
The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

S198810 GRIFFIN (RICHARD) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District
The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.